





 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor > \triangleright \bullet$ 

# Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics Ulf-G. Meißner, Univ. Bonn & FZ Jülich



#### **CONTENTS**

- Introduction I: The anthropic principle
- Introduction II: Definition of the physics problem
- The nuclear force at varying quark mass
- Constraints from Big Bang Nucleosynthesis
- The fate of carbon-based life as a function of fundamental parameters
- Summary & outlook

The anthropic principle

– Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics – KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

## THE ANTHROPIC PRINCIPLE

- so **many** parameters in the Standard Model, the landscape of string theory, ...
- $\Rightarrow$  The anthropic principle:

"The observed values of all physical and cosmological quantities are not equally probable but they take on values restricted by the requirement that there exist sites where carbon-based life can evolve and by the requirements that the Universe be old enough for it to have already done so."

Carter 1974, Barrow & Tippler 1988, ...

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor > \triangleright \bullet$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  can this be tested? / have physical consequences?

• Ex. 1: "Anthropic bound on the cosmological constant" Weinberg (1987) [608 cites]

• Ex. 2: "The anthropic string theory landscape" Susskind (2003) [774 cites]

## <u>A PRIME EXAMPLE for the ANTHROPIC PRINCIPLE</u>

• Hoyle (1953):

Prediction of an excited level in carbon-12 to allow for a sufficient production of heavy elements ( $^{12}C$ ,  $^{16}O$ ,...) in stars

• was later heralded as a prime example for the AP:

"As far as we know, this is the only genuine anthropic principle prediction" Carr & Rees 1989

"In 1953 Hoyle made an anthropic prediction on an excited state – 'level of life' – for carbon production in stars" Linde 2007

"A prototype example of this kind of anthropic reasoning was provided by Fred Hoyle's observation of the triple alpha process..." Carter 2006

– Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics – KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

## The RELEVANT QUESTION

Date: Sat, 25 Dec 2010 20:03:42 -0600 From: Steven Weinberg (weinberg@zippy.ph.utexas.edu) To: Ulf-G. Meissner (meissner@hiskp.uni-bonn.de) Subject: Re: Hoyle state in 12C

Dear Professor Meissner,

Thanks for the colorful graph. It makes a nice Christmas card. But I have a detailed question. Suppose you calculate not only the energy of the Hoyle state in C12, but also of the ground states of He4 and Be8. How sensitive is the result that the energy of the Hoyle state is near the sum of the rest energies of He4 and Be8 to the parameters of the theory? I ask because I suspect that for a pretty broad range of parameters, the Hoyle state can be well represented as a nearly bound state of Be8 and He4.

All best,

Steve Weinberg

- How does the Hoyle state move relative to the 4He+8Be threshold, if we change the fundamental parameters of QCD+QED?
- not possible in nature, but on a high-performance computer!

E (MeV)

-100

-110

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor >$ 

LO

6



NLO EM+IB NNLO Exper

#### The NON-ANTHROPIC SCENARIO

• Weinberg's assumption: The Hoyle state stays close to the 4He+8Be threshold



- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor >$ 

#### The ANTHROPIC SCENARIO

•The AP strikes back: The Hoyle state moves away from the 4He+8Be threshold



- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor >$ 

#### **EARLIER STUDIES of the ANTHROPIC PRINCIPLE**

• rate of the 3
$$lpha$$
-process:  $r_{3lpha}\sim\Gamma_{\gamma}\,\exp\left(-rac{\Delta E_{h+b}}{kT}
ight)$ 

$$\Delta E_{h+b} = E_{12}^{\star} - 3E_{lpha} = 379.47(18) \, {
m keV}$$

• how much can  $\Delta E_{h+b}$  be changed so that there is still enough <sup>12</sup>C and <sup>16</sup>O?

$$\Rightarrow \left| |\Delta E_{h+b}| \lesssim 100 ext{ keV} 
ight|$$

Oberhummer et al., Science **289** (2000) 88 Csoto et al., Nucl. Phys. A **688** (2001) 560 Schlattl et al., Astrophys. Space Sci. **291** (2004) 27 [Livio et al., Nature **340** (1989) 281]



 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor >$ 

Definition of the physics problem

– Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics – KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

#### **ELEMENT GENERATION**

- Elements are generated in the Big Bang & in stars through the **fusion** of protons & nuclei
   [pp chain or CNO-cycle]
- All is simple until <sup>4</sup>He
- Only elements up to Be are produced in the Big Bang [BBNucleosynthesis]
- Life-essential elements like <sup>12</sup>C and <sup>16</sup>O are generated in hot, old stars (triple-alpha reaction, see later)
- Note also that nuclei make up the visible matter in the Universe



 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor >$ 

#### <u>THE TRIPLE-ALPHA PROCESS $\rightarrow$ MOVIE</u>



- the <sup>8</sup>Be nucleus is instable, long lifetime  $\rightarrow$  3 alphas must meet
- the Hoyle state sits just above the continuum threshold
   → most of the excited carbon nuclei decay
   (about 4 out of 10000 decays produce stable carbon)
- carbon is further turned into oxygen but w/o a resonant condition

 $\Rightarrow$ a triple wonder !

- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor >$ 

#### **EMERGENCE of STRUCTURE in QCD**

• The strong interactions are described by QCD:

$$\mathcal{L} = -rac{1}{4g^2} G_{\mu
u} G^{\mu
u} + \sum_{f=u,d,s,c,b,t} \bar{q}_f \left( i\gamma_\mu D^\mu - m_f \right) q_f + \dots$$

- up and down quarks are very light, a few MeV
- Quarks and gluons are confined within hadrons
- Protons and neutrons form atomic nuclei
- $\Rightarrow$  This requires the inclusion of electromagnetism described by QED with  $lpha_{
  m EM} \simeq 1/137$



 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor >$ 

So how sensitive are these strongly interacting composites to variations of the fundamental parameters of QCD+QED? or: how accidental is life on Earth? Quark mass dependence of the nuclear forces

Berengut, Epelbaum, Flambaum, Hanhart, UGM, Nebreda, Pelaez, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) 085018

– Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics – KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

## **INGREDIENTS**

- Nuclear forces are given by chiral EFT based on Weinberg's power counting Weinberg 1991
- $\Rightarrow$  Pion-exchange contributions and short-distance multi-N operators
- graphical representation of the quark mass dependence of the LO potential



• always use the Gell-Mann–Oakes–Renner relation:  $M_{\pi^{\pm}}^2 \sim (m_u + m_d)$ 

- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

#### QUARK MASS DEPENDENCE of HADRON MASSES etc<sup>16</sup>

• Quark mass dependence of hadron properties:

$$egin{aligned} rac{\delta O_H}{\delta m_f} \equiv oldsymbol{K}^{oldsymbol{f}}_{oldsymbol{H}}rac{O_H}{m_f}\,, \ f=u,d,s \end{aligned}$$

- Pion and nucleon properties from lattice QCD combined with CHPT
- Contact interactions modeled by heavy meson exchanges



Epelbaum, UGM, Glöckle, Elster (2002)

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor >$ 



#### **RESULTS for the NN SYSTEM**

#### • Putting pieces together for the two-nucleon system:

$$K^q_{a,1S0} = 2.3^{+1.9}_{-1.8}, \ K^q_{a,3S1} = 0.32^{+0.17}_{-0.18}, \ K^q_{
m B(deut)} = -0.86^{+0.45}_{-0.50}$$



Extends and improves earlier work based on EFTs and models
 Beane, Savage (2003), Epelbaum, UGM, Glöckle (2003), Mondejar, Soto (2007),
 Flambaum, Wiringa (2007), Bedaque, Luu, Platter (2011) [BLP], ...

- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor >$ 

# Impact on BBN

Berengut, Epelbaum, Flambaum, Hanhart, UGM, Nebreda, Pelaez, Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 085018

– Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics – KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

#### **BBN NETWORK & ELEMENT ADUNDANCES**



- consider element generation up to <sup>7</sup>Li, <sup>7</sup>Be
- how does this network / the abundances of the elements change under variations of the quark masses?

- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor$ 

>

- In BBN, we also need the variation of <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>4</sup>He. All other BEs are kept fixed.
- use the method of BLP:

Bedaque, Luu, Platter, PRC 83 (2011) 045803

$$K^q_{^A{
m He}} = K^q_{a,\;1{
m S0}} K^{a,\;1{
m S0}}_{^A{
m He}} + K^q_{
m deut} K^{
m deut}_{^A{
m He}} \,, \ \ A=3,4$$

with

$$egin{aligned} K^{a,\;180}_{^3\mathrm{He}} &= 0.12 \pm 0.01 \ , \ \ K^{\mathrm{deut}}_{^3\mathrm{He}} &= 1.41 \pm 0.01 \ K^{a,\;180}_{^4\mathrm{He}} &= 0.037 \pm 0.011 \ , \ \ K^{\mathrm{deut}}_{^4\mathrm{He}} &= 0.74 \pm 0.22 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\Rightarrow ~ \left( K^q_{^3\mathrm{He}} = -0.94 \pm 0.75, ~~ K^q_{^4\mathrm{He}} = -0.55 \pm 0.42 
ight)$$

• consistent w/ direct nuclear lattice simulation calc:

$$K^q_{^4\mathrm{He}} = -0.32$$
  
EKLLM, PRL 110 (2013) 112502

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor > \triangleright \bullet$ 

#### **RESULTS for HEAVIER NUCLEI**

• calculate BBN response matrix of primordial abundances  $Y_a$  at fixed baryon/photon ratio:

$$\frac{\delta \ln Y_a}{\delta \ln m_q} = \sum_{X_i} \frac{\partial \ln Y_a}{\partial \ln X_i} K_{X_i}^q$$

Х	d	<sup>3</sup> He	$^{4}\mathrm{He}$	$^{6}\mathrm{Li}$	$^{7}\mathrm{Li}$
$a_s$	-0.39	0.17	0.01	-0.38	2.64
<b>B</b> <sub>deut</sub>	-2.91	-2.08	0.67	-6.57	9.44
<b>B</b> <sub>trit</sub>	-0.27	-2.36	0.01	-0.26	-3.84
$B_{^{3}\mathrm{He}}$	-2.38	3.85	0.01	-5.72	-8.27
$B_{\rm ^4He}$	-0.03	-0.84	0.00	-69.8	-57.4
$B_{^{6}\mathrm{Li}}$	0.00	0.00	0.00	78.9	0.00
$B_{7_{\rm Li}}$	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.02	-25.1
B7Be	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	99.1
$\mid  au$	0.41	0.14	0.72	1.36	0.43

Jupdated Kawano code Kawano, FERMILAB-Pub-92/04-A

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor > \triangleright \bullet$ 

#### LIMITS for the QUARK MASS VARIATION

• Average of [deut/H] and  ${}^{4}\text{He}(Y_{p})$ :

$$rac{\delta m_q}{m_q} = 0.02 \pm 0.04$$

- in contrast to earlier studies, we provide reliable error estimates (EFT)
- but: BLP find a stronger constraint due to the neutron life time (affects  $Y(^{4}\mathrm{He})$ )
- re-evaluate this under the model-independent assumption that all quark & lepton masses vary with the Higgs VEV v
- $\Rightarrow$  results are dominated by the <sup>4</sup>He abundance:

$$\left|rac{\delta v}{v}
ight| = \left|rac{\delta m_q}{m_q}
ight| \leq 0.9\%$$

- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

# The fate of carbon-based life as a function of the quark mass

Epelbaum, Krebs, Lähde, Lee, UGM Phys. Rev. Lett. **110** (2013) 112502 Eur. Phys. J. **A 48**:82 (2013)

– Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics – KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor >$ 

#### **FINE-TUNING of FUNDAMENTAL PARAMETERS**

Fig. courtesy Dean Lee



- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor >$ 

## THE TOOL: NUCLEAR LATTICE SIMULATIONS

Frank, Brockmann (1992), Koonin, Müller, Seki, van Kolck (2000), Lee, Schäfer (2004), . . . Borasoy, Krebs, Lee, UGM, Nucl. Phys. **A768** (2006) 179; Borasoy, Epelbaum, Krebs, Lee, UGM, Eur. Phys. J. **A31** (2007) 105

- new method to tackle the nuclear many-body problem
- discretize space-time  $V = L_s \times L_s \times L_s \times L_t$ : nucleons are point-like fields on the sites
- discretized chiral potential w/ pion exchanges and contact interactions + Coulomb
- typical lattice parameters

$$\Lambda = rac{\pi}{a} \simeq 300 \, {
m MeV} \, [{
m UV} \, {
m cutoff}]$$



• strong suppression of sign oscillations due to approximate Wigner SU(4) symmetry

J. W. Chen, D. Lee and T. Schäfer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 242302

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor > \triangleright \bullet$ 

• hybrid Monte Carlo & transfer matrix (similar to LQCD)  $\rightarrow$  Dean Lee's talk/lectures

#### **CONFIGURATIONS**







 $\Rightarrow$  all *possible* configurations are sampled  $\Rightarrow$  *clustering* emerges *naturally* 

– Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics – KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

#### **COMPUTATIONAL EQUIPMENT**

- Past = JUGENE (BlueGene/P)
- Present = JUQUEEN (BlueGene/Q)



- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

## **RESULTS**

Epelbaum, Krebs, Lee, UGM, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010) 142501; Eur. Phys. J. A45 (2010) 335

#### • some groundstate energies and differences

E [MeV]	NLEFT	Exp.	
<sup>3</sup> He - <sup>3</sup> H	0.78(5)	0.76	
<sup>4</sup> He	-28.3(6)	-28.3	
<sup>8</sup> Be	-55(2)	-56.5	
$^{12}C$	-92(3)	-92.2	
<sup>16</sup> O	-131(1)	-127.6	
<sup>20</sup> Ne	-166(1)	-160.6	
$^{24}Mg$	-198(2)	-198.3	
<sup>28</sup> Si	-234(3)	-236.5	



- promising results
- excited states more difficult
- $\Rightarrow \text{projection MC method} + \text{triangulation} \\ \rightarrow \text{Dean Lee's talk/lectures}$

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor >$ 

#### The SPECTRUM of CARBON-12

Epelbaum, Krebs, Lee, UGM, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 192501 Epelbaum, Krebs, Lähde, Lee, UGM, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 (2012) 252501

• After 8 • 10<sup>6</sup> hrs JUGENE/JUQUEEN (and "some" human work)



- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

#### FINE-TUNING: MONTE-CARLO ANALYSIS

Epelbaum, Krebs, Lähde, Lee, UGM, PRL 110 (2013) 112502

- consider first QCD only ightarrow calculate  $\partial \Delta E / \partial M_{\pi}$
- relevant quantities (energy differences)

$$\Delta E_h \equiv E_{12}^* - E_8 - E_4, \quad \Delta E_b \equiv E_8 - 2E_4 \left| -\Delta E_c \equiv E_{12}^* - E_{12} \right|$$

• energy differences depend on parameters of QCD (LO analysis)

$$E_i = E_i \bigg( M_\pi^{\text{OPE}}, m_N(M_\pi), g_{\pi N}(M_\pi), C_0(M_\pi), C_I(M_\pi) \bigg)$$

$$g_{\pi N} \equiv g_A^{}/(2F_\pi^{})$$

• remember:  $M^2_{\pi^\pm} \sim (m_u + m_d)$ 

Gell-Mann, Oakes, Renner (1968)

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor > \triangleright \bullet$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  quark mass dependence  $\equiv$  pion mass dependence

#### **PION MASS VARIATIONS**

• consider pion mass changes as *small perturbations* 

$$egin{aligned} & \left. rac{\partial E_i}{\partial M_{\pi}} 
ight|_{M_{\pi}^{\mathrm{phys}}} = \left. rac{\partial E_i}{\partial M_{\pi}^{\mathrm{OPE}}} 
ight|_{M_{\pi}^{\mathrm{phys}}} + x_1 \left. rac{\partial E_i}{\partial m_N} 
ight|_{m_N^{\mathrm{phys}}} + x_2 \left. rac{\partial E_i}{\partial g_{\pi N}} 
ight|_{g_{\pi N}^{\mathrm{phys}}} \ & + x_3 \left. rac{\partial E_i}{\partial C_0} 
ight|_{C_0^{\mathrm{phys}}} \left. + x_4 \left. rac{\partial E_i}{\partial C_I} 
ight|_{C_I^{\mathrm{phys}}} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$x_1 \equiv \left. \frac{\partial m_N}{\partial M_\pi} \right|_{M^{\rm phys}_\pi}, \, x_2 \equiv \left. \frac{\partial g_{\pi N}}{\partial M_\pi} \right|_{M^{\rm phys}_\pi}, \, x_3 \equiv \left. \frac{\partial C_0}{\partial M_\pi} \right|_{M^{\rm phys}_\pi}, \, x_4 \equiv \left. \frac{\partial C_I}{\partial M_\pi} \right|_{M^{\rm phys}_\pi}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  problem reduces to the calculation of the various derivatives using AFQMC and the determination of the  $x_i$ 

- $x_1$  and  $x_2$  can be obtained from LQCD plus CHPT
- $ullet x_3$  and  $x_4$  can be obtained from two-body scattering and its  $M_{\pi}$ -dependence

- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

#### **AFQMC RESUTS for the DERIVATIVES**

• <sup>4</sup>He



- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

 $\nabla$ 

>

 $\triangleright$ 

 $\triangleleft < \land$ 

• O

#### DETERMINATION of the $x_i$

- $x_1$  from the quark mass expansion of the nucleon mass:
- $x_2$  from the quark mass expansion of the pion decay constant and the nucleon axial-vector constant:
- $x_3$  and  $x_4$  can be obtained from a two-nucleon scattering analysis
- $\Rightarrow$  while this can straightforwardly be computed, we prefer to use a representation that substitutes  $x_3$  and  $x_4$  by:

$$\left. rac{\partial a_s^{-1}}{\partial M_\pi} \right|_{M^{\mathrm{phys}}_\pi}, \quad \left. rac{\partial a_t^{-1}}{\partial M_\pi} \right|_{M^{\mathrm{phys}}_\pi}$$

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor > \triangleright \bullet$ 

 $x_2 \simeq -0.056 \dots 0.008$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  we are ready to study the pertinent energy differences

- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

33

 $\widetilde{x_1 \simeq 0.8 \pm 0.2}$ 

#### **RESULTS**

#### • putting pieces together:

$$\left. \frac{\partial \Delta E_h}{\partial M_{\pi}} \right|_{M_{\pi}^{\rm phys}} = -0.455(35) \left. \frac{\partial a_s^{-1}}{\partial M_{\pi}} \right|_{M_{\pi}^{\rm phys}} - 0.744(24) \left. \frac{\partial a_t^{-1}}{\partial M_{\pi}} \right|_{M_{\pi}^{\rm phys}} + 0.056(10)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Delta E_b}{\partial M_{\pi}}\Big|_{M_{\pi}^{\rm phys}} = -0.117(34) \left. \frac{\partial a_s^{-1}}{\partial M_{\pi}} \right|_{M_{\pi}^{\rm phys}} - 0.189(24) \left. \frac{\partial a_t^{-1}}{\partial M_{\pi}} \right|_{M_{\pi}^{\rm phys}} + 0.012(9)$$

- $x_1$  and  $x_2$  only affect the small constant terms
- also calculated the shifts of the individual energies (not shown here)

- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

#### **INTERPRETATION**

•  $(\partial \Delta E_h / \partial M_\pi) / (\partial \Delta E_b / \partial M_\pi) \simeq 4$  $\Rightarrow \Delta E_h$  and  $\Delta E_b$  cannot be independently fine-tuned

• Within error bars,  $\partial \Delta E_h / \partial M_\pi \& \partial \Delta E_b / \partial M_\pi$  appear unaffected by the choice of  $x_1$  and  $x_2 \rightarrow$  indication for  $\alpha$ -clustering

• the triple alpha process is controlled by :

$$\Delta E_{h+b} \equiv \Delta E_h + \Delta E_b = E_{12}^{\star} - 3E_4$$

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor > \triangleright \bullet$ 

$$\frac{\partial \Delta E_{h+b}}{\partial M_{\pi}}\Big|_{M_{\pi}^{\rm phys}} = -0.571(14) \left. \frac{\partial a_s^{-1}}{\partial M_{\pi}} \right|_{M_{\pi}^{\rm phys}} - 0.934(11) \left. \frac{\partial a_t^{-1}}{\partial M_{\pi}} \right|_{M_{\pi}^{\rm phys}} + 0.069(6)$$

 $\Rightarrow$  quark mass dependence of the scattering lengths discussed earlier

#### **CORRELATIONS**

• vary the quark mass derivatives of  $a_{s,t}^{-1}$  within  $-1, \ldots, +1$ :



• clear correlations:  $\alpha$ -particle BE and the energies/energy differences

 $\Rightarrow$  anthropic or non-anthropic scenario depends on whether the <sup>4</sup>He BE moves!

- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

#### THE END-OF-THE-WORLD PLOT

#### $ullet \left| \delta(\Delta E_{h+b}) ight| < 100 \ { m keV}$

$$\rightarrow \left| \left| \left( 0.571(14)\bar{A}_s + 0.934(11)\bar{A}_t - 0.069(6) \right) \frac{\delta m_q}{m_q} \right| < 0.0015$$



- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

#### **SUMMARY & OUTLOOK**

- Chiral nuclear EFT: best approach to nuclear forces and few-body systems
- Study of the nuclear force as a function of the quark masses
   → pion-exchanges straightforward, contact interactions require modelling
- Impact on BBN: without neutron lifetime,  $\delta m_q/m_q = (2\pm 4)\%$ including the neutron lifetime (all masses  $\sim v$ ):  $|\delta m_q/m_q| \leq 0.9\%$
- Nuclear lattice simulations as a new quantum many-body approach

 $\rightarrow$  allow to vary the parameters of QCD+QED

 $\rightarrow$  investigate changes in nuclear properties

- Fine-tuning of  $m_{
  m quark}$  and  $lpha_{
  m EM} 
  ightarrow$  viability of carbon-oxygen based life
  - $\Rightarrow$  changes in  $m_{
    m quark}$  of about 2-3% and in  $\alpha_{
    m EM}$  of about 2.5% are allowed
  - $\Rightarrow$  LQCD required to reduce the uncertainties!  $\hookrightarrow$  challenge!

 $\Rightarrow$  conditions for life are fine-tuned

- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

# SPARES

- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

#### **PION EXCHANGE CONTRIBUTIONS**

ullet Work to NNLO, need quark mass dependence of  $M_\pi, F_\pi, m_N, g_A$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  using lattice + CHPT gives:  $K^q_{M_\pi} = 0.494^{+0.009}_{-0.013}, \ K^q_{F_\pi} = 0.048 \pm 0.012$  $K^q_{m_N} = 0.048^{+0.002}_{-0.006}$ 

• situation for  $g_A$  not quite clear

LQCD data show little quark mass dep.

chiral expansion converges slowly

two-loop representation might suffice to make contact with flat LQCD data Bernard, UGM (2006)

- $\rightarrow$  use a simplified two-loop representation
- ightarrow fixes quark mass dep. of  $V_{1\pi}+V_{2\pi}$



 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor > \triangleright \bullet$ 

#### QUARK MASS DEP. of the SHORT-DISTANCE TERMS 41

- Consider a typical OBEP with  $M=\sigma,
  ho,\omega,\delta,\eta$
- Quark mass dependence of the sigma and rho from unitarized CHPT

Hanhart, Pelaez, Rios (2008)

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor >$ 

 $\Rightarrow \ K^q_{M_\sigma} = 0.081 \pm 0.007, \ \ K^q_{M_
ho} = 0.058 \pm 0.002$ 

⇒ couplings appear quark mass independent (requires refinement in the future) • assume a) that  $K^q_{\omega} = K^q_{\rho}$  and b) neglect dep. of  $\delta, \eta$ 



#### A SHORT HISTORY of the HOYLE STATE

• Heavy element generation in massive stars: triple- $\alpha$  process

Bethe 1938, Öpik 1952, Salpeter 1952, Hoyle 1954, ...

 ${}^{4}\text{He} + {}^{4}\text{He} \rightleftharpoons {}^{8}\text{Be}$  ${}^{8}\text{Be} + {}^{4}\text{He} \rightleftharpoons {}^{12}\text{C}^{*} \rightarrow {}^{12}\text{C} + \gamma$  ${}^{12}\text{C} + {}^{4}\text{He} \rightleftharpoons {}^{16}\text{O} + \gamma$ 

• Hoyle's contribution: calculation of relative abundances of <sup>4</sup>He, <sup>12</sup>C and <sup>16</sup>O  $\Rightarrow$  need a resonance close to the <sup>8</sup>Be + <sup>4</sup>He threshold at  $E_R = 0.35$  MeV  $\Rightarrow$  this corresponds to a  $J^P = 0^+$  excited state 7.7 MeV above the g.s.

- a corresponding state was experimentally confirmed at Caltech at  $E E(g.s.) = 7.653 \pm 0.008$  MeV Dunbar et al. 1953, Cook et al. 1957
- still on-going experimental activity, e.g. EM transitions at SDALINAC
   M. Chernykh et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 032501
- and how about theory  $? \rightarrow$  this talk
- side remark: NOT driven by anthropic considerations

H. Kragh, Arch. Hist. Exact Sci. 64 (2010) 721

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor > \triangleright \bullet$ 

#### AN ENIGMA for NUCLEAR THEORY

• Ab initio calculation in the no-core shell model:  $\approx 10^7$  CPU hrs on JAGUAR P. Navratil et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **99** (2007) 042501; R. Roth et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **107** (2011) 072501



 $\Rightarrow$  excellent description, but no trace of the Hoyle state

- Ulf-G. Meißner, Anthropic considerations in nuclear physics - KITPC, Nuclear Interaction Program, September 2014

 $\cdot \circ \triangleleft < \land \lor$ 

>