

SFC K 450 MeV SFC K 59 MeV Sectors Sec





Ab Initio No Core Shell Model with Chiral Effective Field Theory Hamiltonians

James P. Vary, Iowa State University

University of Giessen July 7, 2014

The Overarching Questions

- How did visible matter come into being and how does it evolve?
- How does subatomic matter organize itself and what phenomena emerge?
- Are the fundamental interactions that are basic to the structure of matter fully understood?
- How can the knowledge and technological progress provided by nuclear physics best be used to benefit society? - NRC Decadal Study

The Time Scale

- Protons and neutrons formed 10⁶ to 1 second after Big Bang (13.7 billion years ago)
- H, D, He, Li, Be, B formed 3-20 minutes after Big Bang
 - Other elements born over the next 13.7 billion years





Fundamental questions of nuclear physics => discovery potential

- > What controls nuclear saturation?
- > How shell and collective properties emerge from the underlying theory?
- > What are the properties of nuclei with extreme neutron/proton ratios?
- Can we predict useful cross sections that cannot be measured?
- > Can nuclei provide precision tests of the fundamental laws of nature?
- Can we solve QCD to describe hadronic structures and interactions?























computingnuclei.org (adapted by Gaute Hagen)

The Nuclear Many-Body Problem

The many-body Schroedinger equation for bound states consists of $2\binom{A}{Z}$ coupled second-order differential equations in 3A coordinates using strong (NN & NNN) and electromagnetic interactions.

Successful ab initio quantum many-body approaches (A > 6)

Stochastic approach in coordinate space Greens Function Monte Carlo (**GFMC**)

Meson Exchg

interactions

Chiral EFT

interactions



Hamiltonian matrix in basis function space No Core Configuration Interaction (**NCSM/NCFC**)

Cluster hierarchy in basis function space Coupled Cluster (**CC**)

Lattice Nuclear Chiral EFT, MB Greens Function, MB Perturbation Theory, . . . approaches

Comments

All work to preserve and exploit symmetries Extensions of each to scattering/reactions are well-underway They have different advantages and limitations

No Core Shell Model A large sparse matrix eigenvalue problem

$$H = T_{rel} + V_{NN} + V_{3N} + \bullet \bullet$$
$$H |\Psi_i\rangle = E_i |\Psi_i\rangle$$
$$|\Psi_i\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n^i |\Phi_n\rangle$$
Diagonalize {\lap\leftarrow \Phi_m |H|\Phi_n\rangle}

- Adopt realistic NN (and NNN) interaction(s) & renormalize as needed retain induced many-body interactions: Chiral EFT interactions and JISP16
- Adopt the 3-D Harmonic Oscillator (HO) for the single-nucleon basis states, α , β ,...
- Evaluate the nuclear Hamiltonian, H, in basis space of HO (Slater) determinants (manages the bookkeepping of anti-symmetrization)
- Diagonalize this sparse many-body H in its "m-scheme" basis where $[\alpha = (n,l,j,m_{j},\tau_{z})]$

$$|\Phi_n\rangle = [a_{\alpha}^+ \bullet \bullet \bullet a_{\zeta}^+]_n |0\rangle$$

n = 1,2,...,10¹⁰ or more!

• Evaluate observables and compare with experiment

Comments

- Straightforward but computationally demanding => new algorithms/computers
- Requires convergence assessments and extrapolation tools
- Achievable for nuclei up to A=16 (40) today with largest computers available

Physics Letters B 719, 179 (2013)



Emergence of rotational bands in *ab initio* no-core configuration interaction calculations of light nuclei

M.A. Caprio^{a,*}, P. Maris^b, J.P. Vary^b

^a Department of Physics, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556-5670, USA
^b Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011-3160, USA

Both natural and unnatural parity bands identified Employed JISP16 interaction; $N_{max} = 10 - 7$

K=1/2 bands include Coriolis decoupling parameter:

$$E(J) = E_0 + A \left[J(J+1) + a(-)^{J+1/2} \left(J + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right],$$

$$Q(J) = \frac{3K^2 - J(J+1)}{(J+1)(2J+3)} Q_0,$$

$$B(E2; J_i \to J_f) = \frac{5}{16\pi} (J_i K 20 | J_f K)^2 (eQ_0)^2.$$

Fig. 1. Excitation energies obtained for states in the *natural* parity spaces of the oddmass Be isotopes: (a) ⁷Be, (b) ⁹Be, (c) ¹¹Be, and (d) ¹³Be. Energies are plotted with respect to J(J + 1) to facilitate identification of rotational energy patterns, while the *J* values themselves are indicated at top. Filled symbols indicate candidate rotational bandmembers (black for yrast states and red for excited states, in the web version of this Letter). The lines indicate the corresponding best fits for rotational energies. Where quadrupole transition strengths indicate significant two-state mixing (see text), more than one state of a given *J* is indicated as a bandmember. Black line: Yrast band in collective model fit Red line: excited band in collective model fit





Collective model: $Q(J) = \frac{3K^2 - J(J+1)}{(J+1)(2J+3)}Q_0$

Black line: Yrast band in collective model fit Red line: excited band in collective model fit

Fig. 3. Quadrupole moments calculated for candidate bandmembers in the *natural* parity spaces of the odd-mass Be isotopes: (a) ⁷Be, (b) ⁹Be, (c) ¹¹Be, and (d) ¹³Be. The states are as identified in Fig. 1 and are shown as black squares for yrast states or red diamonds for excited states (color in the web version of this Letter). Filled symbols indicate proton quadrupole moments, and open symbols indicate neutron quadrupole moments. The curves indicate the theoretical values for a K = 1/2 or K = 3/2 rotational band, as appropriate, given by (4). Quadrupole moments are normalized to Q_0 , which is defined by either the J = 3/2 or J = 5/2 bandmember (see text).

Note:

Although Q, B(E2) are slowly converging, the ratios within a rotational band appear remarkably stable

Next challenge: Investigate same phenomena with Chiral EFT interactions

M.A. Caprio, P. Maris and J.P. Vary, Physics Letters B 719, 179 (2013)

9Be Translationally invariant gs density Full 3D densities = rotate around the vertical axis



Shows that one neutron provides a "ring" cloud around two alpha clusters binding them together

C. Cockrell, J.P. Vary, P. Maris, Phys. Rev. C 86, 034325 (2012); arXiv:1201.0724; C. Cockrell, PhD, Iowa State University

Chiral EFT for nuclear forces, leading order 3N forces



Adapted from Kai Hebeler, ECT* workshop May 2014

Effective Hamiltonian in the NCSM Okubo-Lee-Suzuki renormalization scheme



$$H: E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}, \dots E_{d_{P}}, \dots E_{\infty}$$

$$H_{\text{eff}}: E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}, \dots E_{d_{P}}$$

$$QXHX^{-1}P = 0$$

$$H_{\text{eff}} = PXHX^{-1}P$$

$$(\text{unitary } X = \exp[-\arctan(\omega^{+} - \omega)]$$

• *n*-body cluster approximation, 2≤*n*≤*A*

- *H*⁽ⁿ⁾_{eff} *n*-body operator
- Two ways of convergence:
 - For $P \rightarrow 1$ $H^{(n)}_{eff} \rightarrow H$
 - For $n \to A$ and fixed *P*: $H^{(n)}_{eff} \to H_{eff}$

Adapted from Petr Navratil



Similarity Renormalization Group – NN interaction



- drives interaction towards band-diagonal structure
- SRG shifts strength between 2-body and many-body forces
- Initial chiral EFT Hamiltonian power-counting hierarchy A-body forces

$$V_{NN} \gg V_{NNN} \gg V_{NNNN}$$

Both OLS and SRG derivations of H_{eff} will be used in applications here

Controlling the center-of-mass (cm) motion in order to preserve Galilean invariance

Add a Lagrange multiplier term acting on the cm alone so as not to interfere with the internal motion dynamics

$$H_{eff} \left(N_{\max}, \hbar \Omega \right) \equiv P[T_{rel} + V^a \left(N_{\max}, \hbar \Omega \right)] P$$
$$H = H_{eff} \left(N_{\max}, \hbar \Omega \right) + \lambda H_{cm}$$
$$H_{cm} = \frac{P^2}{2M_A} + \frac{1}{2} M_A \Omega^2 R^2$$
$$\lambda \sim 10 \text{ suffices}$$

Along with the N_{max} truncation in the HO basis, the Lagrange multiplier term guarantees that all low-lying solutions have eigenfunctions that factorize into a 0s HO wavefunction for the cm times a translationaly invariant wavefunction.







Table 5

Compare ⁸Li observables evaluated using OLS versus SRG

Comparison of ⁸Li observables between experiment [155,160,161] and theory. The OLS results with Chiral NN + NNN are calculated in the NCSM at $\hbar\Omega = 13$ MeV up through $N_{max} = 8$ as reported in Ref. [153]. The SRG results ($\alpha = 0.08$) with Chiral NN + NNN for $N_{max} = 8$; 10 are calculated at $\hbar\Omega = 16$ MeV in the IT-NCSM as reported in Ref. [158]. Results up through $N_{max} = 12$ with JISP16 [107–109] are obtained in the NCFC approach as reported in Ref. [159]. The table uses the same units as in Table 4. AV18/IL2 results are obtained in the GFMC approach as reported in Refs. [1,2] and do not include meson-exchange corrections for the magnetic moment; CD-Bonn ("CD-B") and INOY results are from Refs. [136,163], and were calculated at $N_{max} = 12$ and $\hbar\Omega = 12$ and 16 MeV respectively for CD-Bonn and INOY, with the INOY g.s. energy extrapolated to the infinite basis space. See caption to Table 4. For the JISP16 results, the energies are obtained from extrapolations to the infinite basis space, the magnetic dipole observables are nearly converged and the RMS point-proton radius and electric quadrupole observables are evaluated at $\hbar\Omega = 12.5$ MeV.

| ⁸ Li | Expt. | Chiral NN + NNN Okubo-Lee-Suzuki | Chiral $NN + NNN$ SRG(0.08) $N_{max} = 8$; 10 | AV18/IL2 | JISP16 | INOY | CD-B |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| $\frac{E_{\rm b}(2^+)}{\langle r_{pp}^2 \rangle^{1/2}}$ | 41.277 2.21(6) | 39.95(69) 2.09 | 39.90(1); 40.79(10) | 41.9(2) 2.09(1) | 40.3(2) 2.1 | 41.3(5) 2.01 | 35.82 2.17 |
| $E_{\rm x}(1^+_11)$ | 0.981 | 1.00 (16;03) | 1.027(2); 0.985(6) | 1.4(3) | 1.5(2) | 1.26 | 0.86 |
| $E_{\rm x}(3^+_11)$ | 2.255(3) | 2.75 (16;09) | 2.608(3); 2.599(7) | 2.5(3) | 2.8(1) | 2.87 | 3.02 |
| $E_{\rm x}(0^+_11)$ | - | 4.01 (84;20) | 3.842(15); 3.537(40) | | | 4.22 | 2.48 |
| $E_{\rm x}(1^{+}_{2}1)$ | 3.210 | 4.73 (84;21) | 4.632(16); 4.283(44) | | | 4.90 | 3.25 |
| $E_{\rm x}(2^{+}_{2}1)$ | - | 4.78 (44;12) | 4.603(7); 4.443(23) | | | 5.11 | 3.98 |
| $E_{\rm x}(2^+_31)$ | - | 5.94 (37;20) | | | | 6.07 | 5.29 |
| $E_{\rm x}(1^+_31)$ | 5.400 | 6.09 (70;22) | | | | 6.76 | 5.02 |
| $E_{\rm x}(4^{+}_{1}1)$ | 6.53(20) | 7.45 (36;15) | | 7.2(3) | 7.0(3) | 7.40 | 6.69 |
| $E_{\rm x}(3^{+}_{2}1)$ | - | 8.24 (50;22) | | | | 8.92 | 7.57 |
| $E_{\rm x}(0^{\mp}_{1}2)$ | 10.822 | 11.77 (27;29) | | | | 12.05 | 10.90 |
| $Q(2^{+})$ | 3.27(6) | 2.65 | 2.73(1); 2.79(1) | 3.2(1) | 2.6 | 2.55 | 2.78 |
| Q(1 ⁺) | - | 1.08 | 1.12(1); 1.12(1) | | 1.2 | | |
| Q(3 ⁺) | - | -1.97 | -1.92(1); -1.94(2) | | -2.0 | | |
| $Q(4^{+})$ | - | -3.01 | | | -3.4 | | |
| $\mu(2^+)$ | 1.654 | 1.49 | - | 1.65(1) | 1.3(1) | 1.42 | 1.24 |
| $\mu(1^+)$ | - | -2.27 | | | -2.2(2) | | |
| $\mu(3^+)$ | - | 2.13 | | | 2.0(1) | | |
| $\mu(4^+)$ | - | 1.86 | | | 1.84(1) | | |
| $B(E2;1^+)$ | - | 1.19 | | | 1.9 | | |
| $B(E2;3^+)$ | - | 3.70 | | | 4.6 | | |
| B(E2;4') | - | 1.21 | | | 1.9 | 4.5.0 | 4.20 |
| B(WII; I') | 5.0(16) | 4.13 | 4.15(1); $4.14(1)$ | | 3.7(2) | 4.56 | 4.39 |
| B(IVI1;3') | 0.52(23) | 0.33 | 0.31(1);0.30(1) | | 0.25(5) | | |

ab initio NCSM *with* χ_{EFT} *Interactions*

NNN interactions produce correct ¹⁰B ground state spin and overall spectral improvements



c_D = -1

P. Navratil, V.G. Gueorguiev, J. P. Vary, W. E. Ormand and A. Nogga, Phys Rev Lett 99, 042501(2007); ArXiV: nucl-th 0701038.



P. Maris, J. P. Vary and P. Navratil, Phys. Rev. C87, 014327 (2013); arXiv 1205.5686

No Core CI calculations for light nuclei with chiral 2- and 3-body forces

⁸Be

Pieter Maris¹, H Metin Aktulga², Sven Binder³, Angelo Calci³, Ümit V Çatalyürek^{4,5}, Joachim Langhammer³, Esmond Ng², Erik Saule⁴, Robert Roth³, James P Vary¹ and Chao Yang² J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 454, 012063 (2013)

SRG Renormalization scale invariance, convergence & agreement with experiment



Figure 5. Excitation energies of the 2⁺ (blue crosses) and 4⁺ states (red plusses) for ⁸Be with SRG evolved chiral N³LO 2NF plus induced 3NF at $\alpha = 0.0625$ fm⁴ (left-most panel) and with SRG evolved chiral N³LO 2NF plus chiral N²LO 3NF. Experimental values are indicated by the horizontal green lines.



NCSM excitation spectra for ¹²C with chiral NN(N3LO) (+3N induced)

P. Maris, J.P. Vary, A. Calci, J. Langhammer, S. Binder and R. Roth, arXiv 1405.1331;to appear in PRC



NCSM excitation spectra for ¹²C with chiral NN(N3LO) + 3N(N2LO) interaction

SRG evolution scale (in fm4) dependence

HO frequency (in MeV) dependence

P. Maris, J.P. Vary, A. Calci, J. Langhammer, S. Binder and R. Roth, arXiv 1405.1331;to appear in PRC

Convergence rates of excitation spectra for SRG evolved chiral NN(N3LO) + 3N(N2LO)



Boxes indicate threshold-extrapolation uncertainties for IT-NCSM

P. Maris, J.P. Vary, A. Calci, J. Langhammer, S. Binder and R. Roth, arXiv 1405.1331;to appear in PRC



Convergence rates of selected observables for SRG evolved chiral NN(N3LO) + 3N(N2LO)

P. Maris, J.P. Vary, A. Calci, J. Langhammer, S. Binder and R. Roth, arXiv 1405.1331;to appear in PRC

Next Generation Ab Initio Structure Applications – Aim for Precision

Electroweak processes Beyond the Standard Model tests (e.g. CKM unitarity => v_{ud} determination) Neutrinoful and neutrinoless double beta-decay ?

Each puts major demands on theory, algorithms and computational resources Growing demands => larger collaborating teams, growing computational resources, Increase in the multi-disciplinary character, . . .

Origin of the anomalously long life-time of ¹⁴C



Ab initio Extreme Neutron Matter

Objectives

- Predict properties of neutron-rich systems which relate to exotic nuclei and nuclear astrophysics
- Determine how well high-precision phenomenological strong interactions compare with effective field theory based on QCD
- Produce accurate predictions with quantified uncertainties

Impact

- Improve nuclear energy density functionals used in extensive applications such as fission calculations
- Demonstrate the predictive power of *ab initio* nuclear theory for exotic nuclei with quantified uncertainties
- Guide future experiments at DOE-sponsored rare isotope production facilities



Comparison of ground state energies of systems with N neutrons trapped in a harmonic oscillator with strength 10 MeV. Solid red diamonds and blue dots signify new results with two-nucleon (NN) plus three-nucleon (3N) interactions derived from chiral effective field theory related to QCD. Inset displays the ratio of NN+3N to NN alone for the different interactions. Note that with increasing N, the chiral predictions lie between results from different high-precision phenomenological interactions, i.e. between AV8'+UIX and AV8'+IL7.

Accomplishments

- 1. Demonstrates predictive power of *ab initio* nuclear structure theory.
- 2. Provides results for next generation nuclear energy density functionals
- 3. Leads to improved predictions for astrophysical reactions
- Demonstrates that the role of three-nucleon (3N) interactions in extreme neutron systems is significantly weaker than predicted from high-precision phenomemological interactions





References: P. Maris, J.P. Vary, S. Gandolfi, J. Carlson, S.C. Pieper, Phys. Rev. C87, 054318 (2013); H. Potter, S. Fischer, P. Maris, J.P. Vary, S. Binder, A. Calci, J. Langhammer and R.Roth, arXiv:1406.1160: Contact: ivarv@iastate.edu

Neutron drops in 10 MeV harmonic trap with Chiral NN and Chiral NN + 3N interactions



H.D. Potter, PhD project, Iowa State University Iowa State – Darmstadt Collaboration; arXiv 1406:1160

Summary: Observables in light nuclei known to be sensitive to 3NFs based on chiral NN (N3LO) + 3N (N2LO) [Lambda = 500 MeV]

Binding energies (through Oxygen)and subshell closures (through Calcium) Spectral properties having spin-orbit sensitivity GS quadrupole moment of ⁶Li M1, E2, F, GT transitions Ratio of B(E2)'s [GS -> 1_1^+ over GS -> 1_2^+] in ¹⁰B ¹⁰B ground state spin ¹⁴C anomalous half-life

Other:

Elastic magnetic form factor of ¹⁷O (M5 region)

VOLUME 25, NUMBER 2

Three-body force effects in the ¹⁷O magnetic form factor

S. A. Coon

Physics Department, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721* and Ames Laboratory-Department of Energy, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011

R. J. McCarthy

Kent State University, Ashtabula Campus, Ashtabula, Ohio 44004* and Ames Laboratory-Department of Energy, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011

J. P. Vary

Ames Laboratory-Department of Energy and Physics Department, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011 (Received 7 August 1981)

We find large corrections to the ¹⁷O magnetic form factor arising from a two-pion exchange three-body force. These corrections are comparable in magnitude to meson exchange current contributions. The phases of the M1, M3, and M5 amplitudes due to the three-body force are favorable for improving agreement between theory and experiment, especially in the region of momentum transfer between 1.5 and 3.0 fm⁻¹. S.A. Coon, R.J. McCarthy and J.P. Vary, "Three-body force effects in the ¹⁷O Magnetic form factor." Phys. Rev. C 25, 756 (1982).

Abstract begins:

"We find large corrections to the 170 magnetic form factor arising from a two-pion exchange three-body force."

Three-body force contributions are comparable to large meson-exchange contributions - enhance the M5 but do not suppress the M3.



FIG. 3. Transverse magnetic form factor squared, $|F_T|^2$, vs effective momentum transfer q for ¹⁷O. The curve labeled SCWF + CP + EXCH is described in the caption to Fig. 2. The two solid lines bracket the results obtained by including the three body force diagrams of Fig. 1. The $\pm \Delta TBF(2\pi)$ refer to uncertainties in the TBF parameters as described in the text. Also shown are the main peaks of the separate M1, M3, and M5 amplitudes squared.

Established challenges – possible roles for improved 3NFs

```
Gaps between natural & unnatural parity spectra

The energy of J = 1+, T=0 state in 12C

Two low-lying 2+ states in 10Be with radically different B(E2)'s

Level crossing of J = 5/2 and J = 1/2 states in 9Be

Spectra of 14N

Overbinding of Ca isotopes and above

RMS radii too small in ~all nuclei above 4He

Magnetic FF of 17O – in the M3 suppression region

Extra GT transitions (intruders, clusters, . . .) in p-shell nuclei (e.g. A = 14 PRL)

How JISP16 and NNLO_opt do a ~reasonable job simulating 3NF effects
```

⁶Li with chiral NNLO_opt Hamiltonian RISP – ISU - Chalmers collaboration (in preparation)



Extending the Precision and Reach of Ab Initio Applications:

Physics-driven, theory-improved chiral interactions, EW currents, . . Renormalization theory Extrapolation theory Physics-driven, theory-improved basis spaces

Optimize our utilization of available algorithms and computational resources => intense theoretical developments, increase in the multi-disciplinary character, . . .

Calculation of three-body forces at N³LO

Low Energy Nuclear **Physics** International Collaboration J. Golak, R. Skibinski, K.Tolponicki, H.Witala E. Epelbaum, H. Krebs RUB JÜLICH A. Nogga R. Furnstahl S. Binder, A. Calci, K. Hebeler, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT I. Langhammer, R. Roth DARMSTADT



P. Maris, J. Vary

H. Kamada

Goal

Calculate matrix elements of 3NF in a partialwave decomposed form which is suitable for different few- and many-body frameworks

Challenge

Due to the large number of matrix elements, the calculation is extremely expensive.

Strategy

Develop an efficient code which allows to treat arbitrary local 3N interactions. (Krebs and Hebeler)

Extrapolating to the infinite matrix limit i.e. to the "continuum limit"

Results with both IR and UV extrapolations

References:

S.A. Coon, M.I. Avetian, M.K.G. Kruse, U. van Kolck, P. Maris, and J.P. Vary, Phys. Rev. C 86, 054002 (2012); arXiv: 1205.3230
R.J. Furnstahl, G. Hagen, T. Papenbrock, Phys. Rev. C 86 (2012) 031301
E.D. Jurgenson, P. Maris, R.J. Furnstahl, P. Navratil, W.E. Ormand, J.P. Vary, Phys. Rev. C 87, 054312(2013); arXiv 1302.5473
S.N. More, A. Ekstroem, R.J. Furnstahl, G. Hagen and T. Papenbrock, Phys. Rev. C87, 044326 (2013); arXiv 1302.3815

=> Uncertainty Quantification



FIG. 17. (color online) Ground-state energy of ⁷Li for the NN+NNN evolved Hamiltonians at $\lambda = 2.0 \,\mathrm{fm}^{-1}$, with IR (vertical dashed) and UV (vertical dotted) corrections from Eq. (5) that add to predicted E_{∞} values (points near the horizontal dashed line, which is the global E_{∞}).

E.D. Jurgenson, P. Maris, R.J. Furnstahl, P. Navratil, W.E. Ormand, J.P. Vary, Phys. Rev. C. 87, 054312 (2013); arXiv: 1302:5473

⁶Li with chiral NNLO_opt Hamiltonian Extrapolations to continuum limit with quantified uncertainties



- \diamond Generally, extrapolated results are consistent within uncertainties as a function of increasing N_{max}
- ♦ Systematic increase of proton rms suggests need for improved theory of IR behavior



The "double Lee-Suzuki transform" for valence H_{eff}

Effective interactions in *sd*-shell from *ab-initio* shell model with a core Preliminary Results

E. Dikmen,^{1,2,*} A. F. Lisetskiy,^{2,†} B. R. Barrett,² P. Maris,³ A. M. Shirokov,^{3,4,5} and J. P. Vary³

JISP16

18_F 18_E 19_E ¹⁹F 19_F Aim: Regain valence-core separation -113 but retain full ab initio NCSM NCSM 4+ SSM NCSM SSM SSM -115 => "Double OLS" Approach 0* -117 1* Excellent Now extend to s-d shell the 2+ -119 Spectral successful p-shell applications 2+ -121 agreement! 5+ (MeV) 0+ p-shell application: 9/2* -123 3* 3/2* A. F. Lisetskiy, B. R. Barrett, ш -125 7/2t M. K. G. Kruse, P. Navratil, 5/2* I. Stetcu, J. P. Vary, -127 7/2 Phys. Rev. C. 78, 044302 (2008); 1/2* -129 arXiv:0808.2187 9/2* 3/2+ -131 5/2* Total Binding -133 1/2* Energies! -135 A=18 A=18 A=18 A=19 A=19



Role of Supercomputers Projected Performance Development





Low Energy NP Application Areas

| | | - | | | | • | | o Methods (C.C. |
|--|--|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---|----------------------------------|--|
| Application | Production Run Sizes | Resource | Dense Linear Alg. | Sparse Linear Alg. | Monte Carlo | • | the limit Density solutior | ts to calculate Functional Th to calculate t |
| AGFMC: Argonne Green's Function Monte Carlo | 262,144 cores @ 10 hrs | Mira | | | X | | 2014 | |
| MFDn: Many Fermion Dynamics - nuclear | 260K cores @ 4 hrs 500K cores @ 1.33 hrs | Titan Mira | | X | | | 2013 | 6 |
| NUCCOR: Nuclear Coupled-Cluster Oak Ridge, m-scheme & spherical | 100K cores @ 5 hrs (1 nucleus, multiple parameters) | Titan | | X | | | 2012 | 6th largest o |
| DFT Code Suite: Density Functional Theory, mean-field methods | 100K cores @ 10 hrs (entire mass table, fission barriers) | Titan | x | | | | 2011 | 13th largest o |
| MADNESS: Schroedinger, Lippman-Schwinger and DFT | 40,000 cores @ 12 hrs (extreme asymmetric functions) | Titan | X | x | | | 2009 | 10th largest out |
| NCSM_RGM: Resonating Group Method for scattering | 98,304 cores @ 8 hrs | Titan | X | x | | | | 0 100 Cc |

 Ab initio Methods (CC, GFMC, NCSM) → pushing the limits to calculate larger nuclei

 Density Functional Theory → reasonable time to solution to calculate the entire mass table



Slide by Hai Ah Nam, ORNL



Many outstanding nuclear physics puzzles and discovery opportunities

Clustering phenomena Origin of the successful nuclear shell model Nuclear reactions and breakup Astrophysical r/p processes & drip lines Predictive theory of fission Existence/stability of superheavy nuclei Physics beyond the Standard Model Possible lepton number violation Spin content of the proton + Many More!

Conclusions/Outlook

♦ Impressive recent progress in deriving NN and NNN interactions from QCD

- Much work needs to be done to improve upon these interactions and the many-body approaches that employ them
- \diamond We will continue to apply these interactions to nuclei as they are developed
- ♦ Collaborations of Chiral EFT theorists and ab-initio many-body theorists needed to improve the properties of the Chiral EFT interactions
- Collaborations of nuclear theorists with computer scientists and applied mathematicians must continue
- ♦ Increasing computational resources needed (3NFs, 4NFs are major challenges)
- \diamond Increased manpower needed to achieve these goals in larger collaborating teams



United States

Recent Collaborators

ISU: Pieter Maris, George Papadimitriou, Chase Cockrell, Hugh Potter, Alina Negoita LLNL: Erich Ormand, Tom Luu. Eric Jurgenson, Michael Kruse ORNL/UT: David Dean, Hai Ah Nam, Markus Kortelainen, Witek Nazarewicz, Gaute Hagen, Thomas Papenbrock **OSU:** Dick Furnstahl, students MSU: Scott Bogner, Heiko Hergert Notre Dame: Mark Caprio ANL: Harry Lee, Steve Pieper, Fritz Coester LANL: Joe Carlson, Stefano Gandolfi UA: Bruce Barrett, Sid A. Coon, Bira van Kolck, Matthew Avetian, Alexander Lisetskiy LSU: Jerry Draayer, Tomas Dytrych, Kristina Sviratcheva, Chairul Bahri

UW: Martin Savage

ODU/Ames Lab: Masha Sosonkina, Dossay Oryspayev Computer Science/
Applied MathLBNL: Esmond Ng, Chao Yang, Hasan Metin Aktulga
ANL: Stefan Wild, Rusty Lusk
OSU: Umit Catalyurek, Eric Saule

ISU: Xingbo Zhao, Pieter Maris, Paul Wiecki, Yang Li, Kirill Tuchin, Quantum John Spence Field Stanford: Stan Brodsky Theory Penn State: Heli Honkanen Russia: Vladimir Karmanov

Canada: Petr Navratil Russia: Andrey Shirokov, Alexander Mazur, Eugene Mazur, Sergey Zaytsev, Vasily Kulikov Sweden: Christian Forssen, **Jimmy Rotureau** Japan: Takashi Abe, Takaharu Otsuka, Yutaka Utsuno, Noritaka Shimizu Germany: Achim Schwenk, Robert Roth, Kai Hebeler, students South Korea: Youngman Kim, Ik Jae Shin Turkey: Erdal Dikman

International

Germany: Hans-Juergen Pirner Costa Rica: Guy de Teramond India: Avaroth Harindranath, Usha Kulshreshtha, Daya Kulshreshtha, Asmita Mukherjee, Dipankar Chakrabarti,

Ravi Manohar